

Perceptions of Consulting in the US

Client Perception Programme



Our values and integrity

Our purpose is to help you grow your business. We aim to provide you with the best data and analysis to help you make the right decisions for your firm.

To that end, Source Global Research is completely independent of any professional services firm we work with or comment on. The views about each firm expressed in this report come from senior end-users of professional services; your clients and prospects, in other words. All analysis is our own—as experts in interpreting client data, our aim is to help you make sense of it and bring the important messages to your attention quickly.

It is not possible to influence our rankings either by subscribing to our research or by paying us money—it never has been, and it never will be.

Contents

Our values and integrity

How to use this Client Perception Study

Methodology

Discover more online

What clients are telling us

Value: The problem, a solution, and how it could help us to see the consulting world differently

Detailed rankings

Mindshare: Who are clients in the US talking about?

Quality of work overall in the US

Perceptions of the value added by firms in the US

Quality rankings by service

Firm-by-firm analysis

How to use this section

Accenture

AlixPartners

Bain & Company

Boston Consulting Group

Capgemini Invent

Deloitte

ΕY

IBM Services

Kearney

KPMG

McKinsey & Company

Oliver Wyman

PA Consulting

PwC (including Strategy&)

Roland Berger

Methodology in full

Who did we talk to?

What did we ask them?

Which firms are included in this report?

How do we rank firms in this report?

Definitions of sectors

Sectors

About the author

Edward Haigh

Our custom work

Programme schedule for 2020

How to use this Client Perception Study

It's very important to be clear about what our Client Perception Studies are, and are not:

What they're not are exhaustive studies of clients' opinions about specific firms that remain statistically robust when filtered to provide detailed data about views at a very granular level of the market. So if, for instance, you want statistically robust data about what clients in the pharma sector in Germany think about McKinsey's operational improvement capabilities, you won't find it in these reports. We do profile individual firms in our reports to the extent we can—indeed this remains one of the most popular parts of the reports with readers—and we do, separately, provide Brand Perception Summaries of the world's leading consulting firms, but our Client Perception Studies are not designed to replace the sort of in-depth client research that many firms carry out (and often ask us to carry out for them).

What they are designed to do is provide a snapshot of views within a particular consulting market at a point in time. What they lack in detail about individual firms they make up for in three important ways:

- A multi-firm view. Our reports enable consulting firms to see how they stack up against their competitors in the minds of clients.
- A view from prospects, not just existing clients. We include the views of people who aren't current active clients of the firm they're telling us about, enabling us to understand what we tend to think of as a firm's brand pipeline, and to compare the views of prospective and active clients. In doing this, we're able to assess the extent to which clients' expectations of a firm are met in reality, and how well a firm's marketing and delivery capabilities are aligned.
- Independence and expertise. The trouble with conducting your own client research is that clients are often reluctant to express negative views about firms (and people) with whom they've worked closely. They have no such concerns when they're telling us. Added to which, we're able to bring the expertise we've gained over years of analysing the consulting market to bear, helping to interpret the results within the context of the wider market and the strategic priorities of consulting firms.

It's important to remember that this is a study of client perceptions; a summarised view of what we're hearing from the market. It's not Source's view, nor is it a comment on market share or a recommendation to clients about which firms to buy from. The audience of these reports is very much the firms featured in them, and those interested in the strength of the competition in any given market.

Methodology

Who did we talk to?

We have 1,935 responses from our survey of executives, directors, and senior managers in the US in October to December 2019, all of whom have been responsible for buying substantial volumes of consulting services in the previous year. They represent a wide range of industries and business functions, and 82% work in organisations that generate more than \$500m in revenue.

For more information about the people we surveyed, please see the extended methodology section at the back of this report.

The difference between direct clients and prospects

We talk about two types of clients in this report: direct clients and prospects.

Direct clients are clients who have bought services from a firm on a reasonably regular basis. They're current clients, or ones with an ongoing relationship with a firm.

Prospects are clients who feel qualified to share an opinion about a firm but who aren't among the firm's direct clients. They may have had exposure to the work the firm has done elsewhere in their organisation or may have simply formed an impression based on reading a firm's thought leadership or being exposed to its marketing in some other form. In this research, we segment this group into those that are simply aware of a firm, and those that have shortlisted a firm but decided not to proceed with that firm.

The differences between the opinions of these two types of clients tell us a lot about the differences between expectation (prospects) and reality (direct clients). But they also tell us something about the differences between a firm's marketing and what it actually delivers.



Programme schedule for 2020

Reports 2020	Market Data & Analysis	Client & Brand Insights	Emerging Trends
January	Forecasts for 2020		
February	UK	UK	
March	GCC Benelux Nordics	GCC Nordics	The Future of Account Management
April	Southern Europe DACH France	Germany	
May	US Eastern Europe & Russia Global Tax Advisory	US France	
June	Canada South America	Financial Services Technology, Media & Telecoms	Sustainable Futures: Building Resilient Businesses
July	Mexico Australia Global Risk Services	Energy & Resources Healthcare	
August	India China Africa	Perceptions of Audit Firms Perceptions of Tax Firms	
September	Energy & Resources Planning for Growth in 2021	Perceptions of Risk Firms	Sustainable Futures: A Climate Transformed
October	Financial Services South East Asia Japan		
November	Healthcare Technology, Media & Telecoms		The Consultant of Tomorrow
December			

White Space

A powerful research tool that allows subscribers to keep up to date with the latest content being produced and maximise their return on investment. A series of reports that analyse the quality and effectiveness of thought leadership are published throughout the year.



About us

Source Global Research is a leading provider of information about the market for professional services.

Set up in 2007, Source serves the professional services industry with expert analysis, research, and reporting. We draw not only on our extensive in-house experience but also on the breadth of our relationships with both suppliers and buyers. All of our work is underpinned by our core values of intelligence, integrity, efficiency, and transparency.

Source Information Services Ltd
20 Little Britain | London | EC1A 7DH
UK +44 (0)20 3478 1207
US +1 800 767 8058
info@sourceglobalresearch.com
www.sourceglobalresearch.com

© Source Information Services Ltd 2020

Source Information Services Ltd and its agents have used their best efforts in collecting the information published in this report. Source Information Services Ltd does not assume, and hereby disclaims, any liability for any loss or damage caused by errors or omissions in this report, whether such errors or omissions result from negligence, accident, or other causes.