EXTRACT

MARKET TRENDS



THE AUDIT MARKET IN 2018



CONTENTS

Introduction: A place marker and a road map	3
Market size and growth	4
Market share	5
Market share by sector	5
Audit revenues by firm	6
Average audit fees per client by firm	7
Ratio of audit to non-audit revenues	8
Total revenues	9
Average length of tenure by jurisdiction	10
A market in flux	12
Aim #1: Has regulation curbed the dominance of the Big Four and increased competition in the global audit market?	13
Clients are largely happy with the status quo	13
Increasing competition	14
Increasing fees	16
Aim #2: Has regulation led to an increase in audit quality?	17
Increasing innovation	17
Innovation knock-on effect: competition from tech companies	19
Innovation knock-on effect: shifting talent demand	20
Aim #3: Is the share of non-audit services provided by audit firms falling?	21
Conclusions: Towards reform that's not dependent on regulation	23
The limits of regulation	23
Technology shines a light	24
From driving force to referee	24
Methodology	24
Appendix 1—Country-by-country report	25
1. US	25
2. UK	25
3. France	26
4. Germany	26
5. South Africa	27
6. Australia	27
7. China	28
8. Singapore	28
Contributors	29
About the authors	30

INTRODUCTION: A PLACE MARKER AND A ROAD MAP

The global audit market is under enormous pressure these days. With a number of high-profile corporate collapses in recent years—all happening under an auditor's supposedly watchful eye—everything about audit is being questioned—by regulators, by investors, even by auditors themselves.

There are allegations that the Big Four audit firms (Deloitte, EY, KPMG, and PwC, constituting an unquestionable oligopoly in the global audit market) have become too big, suffocating competition and hurting audit quality in the process. Related to this are worries that the Big Four offering advisory services alongside audit creates grave conflicts of interest, diminishing quality and impartiality across all services delivered. There are also complaints that audit tenures have become too long, creating overly cosy relationships that diminish the auditors' objectivity and may mask—or even facilitate—unethical and illegal practices.

Responses to these concerns are many, varied, and coming from all corners. Corporate boards—and the investors to whom they answer—are demanding more from auditors, increasing the complexity of audit. They're also re-examining their relationships with individual auditors, questioning how long they should stick with the same supplier and whether or not they should be turning to an auditor to provide non-audit services. Perhaps more significantly, regulators have also stepped into the fray. In many cases, they have instituted mandatory audit firm rotation (MAFR hereafter) in a bid to bolster competition and head off excessively long relationships. In some markets—most notably the enormous UK market—regulators are also knocking around the idea of breaking up the Big Four, forcing them to spin off their tax and advisory practices to avoid damaging conflicts of interest.

It is here that we enter the story, looking to get a grip on a market in flux—where it stands and where it's going. To put the market into context, we begin by scoping out the current state of play. Focusing on the biggest clients in the eight of the world's most important audit markets—namely the US, UK, France, Germany, South Africa, Australia, China, and Singapore—we use data collected from publicly available sources to pin down which firms are auditing which companies and how much they're being paid to do so. We further consider how market share is spread across sectors, typical length of auditor service by jurisdiction, and how revenues are split between audit and non-audit services.

From here, we move to an exploration of audit's increasing regulatory burden and the drive to use regulation to improve audit quality and increase public confidence in the audit process. To better understand how new regulations—most significantly the rise of MAFR—are (and are not) reshaping the market, we have undertaken an extensive study, conducting in-depth interviews with a dozen senior audit partners, finance directors, and non-executive directors and surveying 160 finance professionals to find out what they see happening and what they'd like to see happen next. On the whole, they tell a story of aggressive regulation yielding limited results. And they further suggest how a still-emerging yet potentially far greater transformative power—the world-shaking force of digital transformation—could ultimately do more to accomplish regulators' goals than regulation ever could.



CONTRIBUTORS

We are extremely grateful to all the people we spoke to for making this report possible. Below is a list of the individuals who have contributed from consulting firms (some consultants choose to remain anonymous).

Name	Job title	Firm
James Chalmers	Global Assurance Leader	PwC
Bob Dohrer	Global Leader for Quality and Risk	RSM
David Herbinet	UK Head of Public Interest Entities and Global Audit Leade	r Mazars
Panos Kakoullis	Global Head of Assurance	Deloitte
Scott Knight	UK Head of Audit and Assurance	BDO
Antony Nettleton	Global Leader—Quality and Risk Management	Grant Thornton
Bill O'Mara	Global Head of Audit	KPMG
Felice Persico	Global Vice Chair—Assurance	EY





ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Edward Haigh

Ed is a Director of Source, and he is particularly focused on HR, strategic marketing, and new product development. He is a key member of the Source research team and spends much of his time interviewing consultants and their clients around the world in order to identify and explore major market trends.

Ed regularly speaks about the consulting market to leadership teams around the world, has lectured at Columbia University, Imperial College, and Vrije Universiteit, and he is regularly quoted by media including the *Wall Street Journal*, the *Washington Post*, the *Financial Times*, *Bloomberg*, and the trade press. Prior to joining Source in 2008, Ed spent seven years in various marketing roles for Sony Europe.



Edward Haigh

edward.haigh@sourceglobalresearch.com

B.J. Richards

B.J. is the senior editor at Source and is responsible for ensuring consistency in quality, content, and tone across the core programme. She also writes a number of our core reports and participates in Source's market research. An accomplished writer and editor, B.J. has provided strategic communications for senior executives ranging from a US senator to presidents of Harvard University. She earned her juris doctorate from the University of Georgia and is a member of the Georgia bar.

Philip Smith

Philip is a freelance accountancy and tax writer. He is contributing editor for Accountancy, editor of London Accountant and a regular contributor for Accounting & Business. He compiles the annual International Networks and Associations survey for Accountancy Age. Previously, he has worked with EY and KPMG.



B.J. Richards ■ bj.richards@sourceglobalresearch.com



Philip Smith



About Source

Source Global Research is a leading provider of information about the market for professional services. Set up in 2007, Source serves the professional services industry with expert analysis, research, and reporting. We draw not only on our extensive in-house experience but also on the breadth of our relationships with both suppliers and buyers. All of our work is underpinned by our core values of intelligence, integrity, efficiency, and transparency.

For further information please visit www.sourceglobalresearch.com

Source Information Services Ltd

♀ 20 Little Britain • London • EC1A 7DH

2 +44 (0)20 3478 1207

➡ info@sourceglobalresearch.com

www.sourceglobalresearch.com

Source Information Services Ltd and its agents have used their best efforts in collecting the information published in this report. Source Information Services Ltd does not assume, and hereby disclaims, any liability for any loss or damage caused by errors or omissions in this report, whether such errors or omissions result from negligence, accident, or other causes.

